Impact of Brain Tumour Treatment on Quality of Life in Children: A Health Perspective

Abstract
Health-related quality of life (HRQL) in brain tumour patients are an important area of clinical neuro-oncology because brain tumors and its therapy usually affect physical, cognitive as well as emotional functioning. In children, brain tumours are often associated with developmental conditions and have long-term outcomes that vary from full recovery to profound and multiple learning difficulties and severe disability. In this review, we discuss on the magnitude of the pediatric brain tumour problem and HRQL with respect to treatment challenges and the effect on normal child development.
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Introduction
Tumours arising from in and around the brain in which some cells grow and multiply uncontrollably due to loss of mechanisms that control normal cells growth. Because of the location, significant long-term impairment to intellectual and neurological function is possible. They can also be life threatening if not treated promptly. The cause of primary brain tumors is unknown. However, primary brain tumours are the second most common cancer in children and the most common solid neoplasm of childhood, representing about 20% of all pediatric cancers [1,2]. For example, between 2001 and 2005, 4,181 children aged 0-14 years were diagnosed with cancer in Canada, and between 2000 and 2004, 676 died from this disease [1]. The incidence of primary pediatric brain tumours is approximately 2.76 to 4.28 cases per 100,000 children [2]. The reported incidence of pediatric brain tumours has been increasing over the last three decades, probably due to improved diagnostics [3]. Refinements in imaging, surgical technique and adjunctive therapies have led to longer survival in children with brain tumours [2,4,5]. As more children survive a cancer diagnosis, the need for long-term monitoring and follow-up care continues to grow [1].

Treatment
Treatment of brain tumours is complicated because of the delicate surrounding tissue. Among all tumors, pediatric brain tumors are life-threatening, most children and adolescents with this diagnosis survive into adulthood. Treatment for pediatric cancers usually involves one or more of three main modalities: localized surgical resection, radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy. The trend in neurosurgery for pediatric neuro-oncological conditions has been toward less invasive procedures and non-surgical interventions, where possible [6], as treatment toxicities are cumulative [7]. The outcomes for children diagnosed with and treated for cancer are largely dependent on host factors, the type of cancer diagnosed, timing, and treatments received [8]. Aggressive therapy is often needed to cure this potentially lethal disease, yet late effects, such as injury to the developing brain, remain a profound concern [9]. With surgical resection, craniospinal irradiation and chemotherapy, cure rates as high as 85% are achieved with average-risk cases. However, these remarkable improvements in survival are achieved at high cost to survivors’ HRQL [10].

Child Development and Cancer Treatments
Children who receive treatment for brain tumours are uniquely
challenged as their diagnosis and treatment occurs concurrently with ongoing development. Understanding the basic processes of normal child development helps to determine the potential consequences of receiving treatment during this formative time. Child development or developmental science is devoted to understanding constancy and change from conception through adolescence [11]. Discreet periods of development have been identified including (a) the prenatal period (conception to birth), (b) infancy and toddlerhood (birth to two years), (c) early childhood (two to six years), (d) middle childhood (six to eleven years) and (e) adolescence (eleven to eighteen years). Each developmental stage is characterized by new capacities and social expectations that define the three broad domains of cognitive, physical and emotional/social development. During infancy and toddlerhood, brain and body growth supports the development of motor, perceptual and intellectual capacities including early language development. The first independent steps usually take place in this phase. In early childhood, as the body becomes longer and leaner, refinement in motor skills occurs and self-control and self-sufficiency emerge. Language development is rapid during this period, with morality and socialization with peers becoming important. During the first year of life, the auditory and visual cortices responsible for body movement develop at a rapid rate. Language areas are particularly active during late infancy through preschool years. Previous studies have suggested that the cerebral cortex is highly plastic during these early years; this protects it from damage as other parts can take over any lost cortical functions [11]. Receiving cancer treatment for a brain tumour during this period of child development can pose many challenges to normal neurodevelopment.

Neuro-developmental Challenges

There are many aspects of cancer treatment that can cause neurodevelopmental deficits in children being treated for cancer. The serious consequences for normal brain development have been studied using in utero exposure to radiation [12]. This research has demonstrated that the developing brains in children are much more sensitive than the adult brain. Treatments that target the central nervous system, including chemotherapy or radiation to the brain or spinal cord, can lead to neurodevelopmental deficits [13]. Developmental theory helps to explain the ongoing interaction between a child and his/her environment when he/she is undergoing treatment for a brain tumour.

Synactive Theory

The synactive theory of development provides a framework for understanding the neurobehavioural capabilities of a fetus, newborn and young infant during early development [14]. The theory helps practitioners understand the interaction between the nervous system and child development by observing physiological cues including motor behaviour, attention and social interaction [15]. It describes a dynamic continuous interaction between the autonomic system, the motor system, the organizational system (e.g. sleep-wake cycles), the attentional-interactive system (e.g. adaptive skills) and the self-regulatory balancing system [14]. Functional competence is achieved as the child moves through the developmental agenda while interacting with his or her environment [14]. The five subsystems of the synactive theory are described as interdependent and interrelated with a loss of integrity in one system affecting the others [16]. Developmental challenges occur when neurobehavioural demands become overwhelming for the child, and functioning in one area affects the others [15]. Although this theory has been used almost exclusively to plan nursing care for premature infants in neonatal intensive care units [16], it has also been used to guide brain development and early childhood education curriculums [15]. This model can be used in everyday practice to facilitate the integration of neurobehavioural consideration of infants, toddler and preschoolers and their families [15]. This model also provides an important context for understanding the developmental challenges faced by a child following treatment for a brain tumour with respect to motor, behaviour and social interactions. Undergoing treatment for a brain tumour during normal child development can result in developmental challenges that can have adverse outcomes in survivors.

Surviving Childhood Brain Tumours

Survivorship is conceptualized as the phase of cancer care that begins after the completion of definitive therapy [17]. Brain tumour survivors include children and youth who have completed treatment for a brain tumour and who have received surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy. Long-term survivors of childhood central nervous system malignancies are at increased risk for late mortality, development of second neoplasms, multiple endocrinopathies and adverse neurologic health conditions [18]. Children diagnosed with brain tumours during infancy have been found to have developmental delays in a number of areas of adaptive function. By the time they reached school age, children displayed further compromise in cognitive functions, academic skills and adaptive behaviour. Higher levels of deficit at follow-up were associated with tumour location in the supratentorium, a younger age at diagnosis and a longer time since diagnosis [19]. These results were supported by the finding that posterior fossa tumours disturb the normal development of higher mental functions, especially the development of linguistic and emotional traits [20] and by a study of the developing cognitive profiles of children diagnosed with cerebellar tumours before the age of five [21], which suggested that greater damage to right cerebellar structures are associated with a plateauing in verbal and/or literacy skills. In contrast, greater damage to left cerebellar structures is associated with delayed or impaired non-verbal/spatial skills [21].

Developmental Outcomes

A recent multicentre, Canadian study provides critical insight into the developmental outcomes in childhood cancer including specific deficits following treatment for brain cancer. In a retrospective cohort study, 800 survivors, age 17 years or younger were matched by age and gender with a group of 923 controls [22]. Significant finding with survivors than controls were found with repeated a grade, attended learning disability or special education programs, had educational or other school problems, had no close friends and were less likely to use friends as confidants. Brain tumour
survivors reportedly were more likely than controls to have educational problems and no close friends, followed by survivors of leukemia, and survivors of neuroblastoma. Among survivors, those who had received cranial radiation were more likely to have educational difficulties and to have no close friends than survivors who did not receive cranial radiation [22]. Parent-reported HRQL in child and adolescent cancer survivors was also explored in the same cohort and comparison group [23]. According to parents, the HRQL for survivors was somewhat poorer, overall, than for controls. Survivors of brain tumors, lymphoma, and leukemia and patients treated with cranial radiation had the poorest HRQL [23]. Both of these studies were limited by the exclusive use of parent proxy measures. In a retrospective Canadian cohort study of 2,152 long-term survivors and 2,432 controls, aged 5 to 37, surviving cancer during childhood or adolescence resulted in deficits in dexterity, ambulation, hearing, speech and cognition [24]. Brain tumor survivors were the most likely to show impairments across multiple domains. Impairments in cognition were found most commonly in survivors exposed to craniospinal radiation at young ages [24]. These findings have been replicated in numerous publications using the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS), which documents an American cohort of long-term survivors of childhood cancer who were diagnosed between 1970 and 1986, 13% of whom are brain tumor survivors [25,26]. Pediatric brain tumor survivors are at risk for many adverse outcomes related to physical, social, emotional and cognitive function. Patient variables such as tumour location, treatment type (e.g. radiation treatment) and age at treatment may be important variables affecting outcomes.

**Chronic Diseases and Physical Activity**

Undergoing treatment for cancer can put an individual at risk for developing a number of chronic health conditions which can limit their physical activity. For this reason, cancer is being increasingly conceptualized as a chronic condition. These potential complications which can affect HRQL are particularly important for a young survivor who potentially still has many years of life ahead of them. This issue has been highlighted in many CCSS studies. Chronic diseases identified in the CCSS cohort were found to involve multiple organs and occurred in varying degrees of severity [27,28]. Complications included endocrine and reproductive dysfunction, pulmonary toxicity, cerebrovascular injury, and neurologic and sensory sequelae.

Endocrine abnormalities include thyroid disease, growth hormone abnormalities affecting height, and disorders of body weight. Other possible conditions included alterations in pubertal development, osteonecrosis, cardiopulmonary disease and neurologic/neurosensory disorders. All of these effects were disease and treatment specific. High-risk populations have been identified for specific organ toxicity and secondary carcinogenesis including brain tumour and hematologic malignancy survivors [27,28]. Childhood cancer survivors were also found to be at substantial and increasing risk for the development of second neoplasms [29,30]. Late mortality after initial five-year disease-free survival has been well established, with higher risks of late recurrence noted in the early decades and increasing rates of second malignancies and treatment-related deaths occurring in the later decades [31]. These chronic conditions may be associated with symptoms that may affect a child’s HRQL and ability to remain physically active [32]. Contributing factors that increased the risk for physical performance limitations were musculoskeletal, neurologic, cardiac, pulmonary, sensory, and endocrine organ system dysfunctions [32].

**Social Outcomes**

Social outcomes have also been studied in the CCSS cohort. Childhood cancer survivors generally had similar high school graduation rates, but required more special education services than sibling controls [33]. Survivors were slightly less likely than expected to attend college, and were more likely to be unemployed and single as young adults [33]. HRQL and life satisfaction outcomes were compared in the CCSS cohort with sibling and normative data. A significant percentage of survivors reported more symptoms of global distress and poorer physical but not emotional domains of HRQL [34]. Cranial irradiation affected neurocognitive outcomes, especially in brain tumor survivors. Psychological distress also predicted poor health behaviors, including smoking, alcohol use, fatigue and altered sleep [34].

Pediatric brain tumor survivors have been frequently studied and consistently perform poorly when compared to healthy controls or siblings on a number of HRQL outcome measures including global distress and diminished social functioning [35]. Most of these studies have included adult survivors over the age of 18. These studies highlight the fact that not all cancers are the same with respect to long-term outcomes. Several high-risk groups consistently emerge. It is these high-risk groups that best exemplify the possible detrimental effects of cancer treatment on child development. Brain tumour and acute leukemia childhood cancer survivors have been identified as particularly vulnerable to cognitive, physical, emotional and social developmental issues. Being able to further distinguish the specific symptoms that occur within each of the HRQL domains, can provide caregivers with important information to guide care.

**Symptoms in Children with Cancer**

Children with cancer experience many symptoms related to their disease and its treatment [1,36-38] which can cause distress [39] and can diminish their HRQL [38]. Symptom assessment is complex and should involve characterizing symptoms based on their intensity, location, temporal nature, frequency and affective effect [40]. Numerous studies have shown that developmental stage as well as verbal and cognitive abilities need to be considered when measuring symptoms in children and adolescents [40-43]. To develop age-appropriate interventions, it is necessary to understand how children at different developmental stages experience cancer-related symptoms [42]. Previous studies have verified that child self-report is the gold standard for subjective symptom measures [44-46], although parent proxy measures generally correlate positively with child reports [45]. Multidimensional, self-report tools that measure symptoms in children as young as seven years of age, such as the Memorial Symptom Assessment Scale (MSAS), have been shown to have
acceptable reliability and validity [37,38,45]. Knowing the most common symptoms and understanding how they affect children’s HRQL, can help care providers tailor their care to meet patients’ individual needs.

An integrative review of symptoms in children with cancer identified 219 distinct symptoms or problems, indicating that children and adolescents experience numerous and complex symptoms [47]. Thirty-six percent of the symptoms identified were psychological or emotional in nature (e.g. anxiety), 31% were physiological (e.g. obesity), 22% were both physical and psychological (e.g. fatigue) and 11% were school-related (e.g. behavioural). The review also identified the importance of health care providers being able to understand the child’s perspective when communicating with a child about his or her symptom experience. In particular, care providers need to consider the verbal and cognitive skills of younger children [47].

Symptoms and HRQL

HRQL assessment in children is an important measure of the impact of the disease, effect of treatment and other variables affecting people’s lives (Figure 1). The relationship between symptom experience and HRQL has been explored in children on treatment. Symptom characteristics and HRQL outcomes were explored in 61 patients following the administration of myelosuppressive chemotherapy, including four patients being treated for brain tumours [48]. Patients experienced a mean of 10.6 symptoms. The five most common symptoms were nausea (80%), fatigue (70%), pain (69%), alopecia (66%) and drowsiness (57%). A higher number of symptoms and higher symptom distress scores were associated with poorer HRQL scores [48]. Another systematic review including studies of multiple symptoms in pediatric oncology patients identified nine cross-sectional studies with convenience sampling [38]. Twenty-eight percent to 100% of the patients in these studies were actively receiving treatment. The most commonly occurring symptoms were weight loss or weight gain, fever, sore throat, lack of energy, alopecia, drowsiness, bruising, round face, pain and anorexia. Fatigue, and lethargy or lack of energy was the most frequently reported symptoms in all of the studies. The relationship between demographic and clinical characteristics and the occurrence of multiple symptoms were not elucidated. No studies were identified that examined the relationships between symptom characteristics and HRQL. The authors of the review concluded that more studies are required on the prevalence and effect of multiple symptoms and the link between patient symptoms and outcomes, such as HRQL [38].

Concluding Remarks

Being diagnosed with a brain tumour is a life-changing event, and in children it become complex and represent an important health issues on quality of life. Management of this challenging disease requires a delicate balance between optimizing treatment to cure the disease and prevent recurrence, while minimizing the significant treatment toxicities to the developing brain. Several studies with quality-of-life outcome assessment identified that survivors of pediatric brain tumors have a poor HRQL relative to other cancer survivors or healthy peers across social, emotional, physical and cognitive domains. Validated tools exist to measure symptoms and HRQL in young children, but the symptom experience and the relationship between symptoms and HRQL in children and youth who have completed treatment for a brain tumor are not well understood. Clinicians are accepting that addition of HRQL as both primary and secondary end points play an important role and may in turn increase overall survival. There are currently limited interventions available to enhance quality of life for those affected by a brain tumour. More research is needed in this aspect to increase the survivorship and as patients experience potential morbidities associated with therapies.
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